

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/656,350 | 09/05/2003 | Robert C. Ladner | 10280-053001 | 8718 |
| 25161 7590 02/20/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LUNDGREN, JEFFREY S | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1639 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/20/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/656,350 LADNER ET AL. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner JEFFREY LUNDGREN 1639 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication

 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 November 2007. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-18, 20-33 and 35-38 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

| Application Papers |
|--|
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of |

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

| Attachment(s) | | |
|---|---|--|
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) Influenced Traction Orocclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Pager No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informat Patent #spilication 6) Other: | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/656,350 Page 2

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This Restriction Requirement is a supplemental restriction in response to Applicants newly presented claims.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentally distinct species

 A) various combinations of the core method – Applicants are required to elect one additional species from the newly introduced independent method claims 29-33 and 35-38.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. For example, the search and examination of method claim 35 requires the consideration of art that relates to at least two physically distinct reaction vessels, whereas the search and examination of claim 38 requires the consideration of art directed towards the size of the phage library, and the duration of the amplification cycles, and the number of cell divisions that occur in the host

In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including Application/Control Number: 10/656,350

Art Unit: 1639

any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to Applicant to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.

Election by Original Presentation

Newly submitted claim 34 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed. Specifically, the originally claimed invention is directed to a method for identifying members of a bacteriophage library with certain binding properties. However, the library of claim 34 is directed to a group of phage compounds that may have alternative uses than those in the claims under examination, such as methods for enriching certain antibody populations. Accordingly, art that relates to the library of claim 34 is likely to be substantively different than art that is related to the patentability of method claims 1-28 currently under examination.

Art Unit: 1639

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 34 will be withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention at the time of the next Office Action. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03

Time for Reply

Applicant is reminded that 1-month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory period will be set for reply when a written requirement is made without an action on the merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a),

Correction of Inventorship

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusions

If Applicants should amendment the claims, a complete and responsive reply will clearly identify where support can be found in the disclosure for each amendment. Applicants should point to the page and line numbers of the application corresponding to each amendment, and provide any statements that might help to identify support for the claimed invention (e.g., if the amendment is not supported in ipsis verbis, clarification on the record may be helpful). Should Applicants present new claims, Applicants should clearly identify where support can be found in the disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeff Lundgren whose telephone number is 571-272-5541. The Examiner can normally be reached from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,350 Page 5

Art Unit: 1639

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, James Schultz, can be reached on 571-272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/JSL/

/Jon D. Epperson/ Primary Examiner, AU 1639 Application Number